

## **Im/migration, Work, and Health: Anthropology and the**

However, larger structural changes, such as the changing nature of work, together with advances in occupational health, such as the adoption of the social determinants of health paradigm, and a growing awareness of the inequitable distribution of occupational injuries across social groups have contributed to the recognition for a more holistic approach to the relationship between work and health (Ahonen et al. 2018; Schulte and Vainio 2010; Wright 2018). At the same time, the recent wave of im/migrants from Latin America to the United States has rejuvenated interest in im/migrant workers among anthropologists, and their increased rates of occupational injuries have drawn the attention of occupational safety and health researchers.

Researchers acknowledge work (Bambra 2011) and, more recently, im/migration (Castañeda et al. 2015) as social determinants of health; however, we often study them independently. The historical examples from social medicine and occupational health suggest that the nexus of im/migration, work, and health provides a rich topic for both academic and applied anthropological exploration as well as a growing number of opportunities for collaboration with occupational health researchers to advance our understanding of the social aspects of occupational health.

This special issue of the *Anthropology of Work Review*, the first of its kind dedicated to the occupational health of im/migrant workers, reminds us of these common roots in social medicine and the potential that the intersection of im/migration, work, and health offers collaborative opportunities for anthropologists and occupational safety and health professionals and researchers. The articles herein demonstrate the value that anthropological theories (e.g., structural vulnerability), ethnographic methods (e.g., participant observation), and intersectional approaches (e.g., “studying-up”) add to our understanding of the ways everyday experiences are structured by individual positionality within broader socioeconomic processes. By rooting occupational injury in the social context and lived experiences of im/migrant workers, the authors account for complex social, political, and economic interactions that contribute to occupational health inequity for im/migrant workers. In so doing, they expand and complement the reductionist view of cause and effect by accounting for those interactions that contribute to occupational health inequity for im/migrant workers. The articles in this special issue suggest that the topic of occupational health is a powerful lens through which to understand the im/migrant experience and move us toward an anthropology of im/migration, work, and health.

## **Toward a Biosocial Approach to Occupational Safety and Health—What Anthropology Offers OSH**

Social medicine—the study of how social and economic conditions affect health, disease, and the practice of medicine—was developed in response to increased levels of disease and poverty among workers in the industrial revolution. Despite its shared concern with social medicine through the relationship between work and health, the field of occupational health evolved largely into a technical and applied field dedicated to identifying and eliminating the physical, chemical, and biological hazards found at the workplace (Peckham et al. 2017). This evolution fits with a larger trend that Farmer (2002) calls the “desocialization” of

scientific inquiry or “the tendency to ask only biological questions about what are in fact biosocial phenomena” (Farmer et al. 2006, 1686).

The evolution of occupational health has also been guided by the bureaucratization of regulatory structure that developed a system for identifying specific hazards as work-related and assigning legal responsibility for the injuries and illnesses that result from exposure to these hazards. This approach has contributed to significant reductions in occupational injury and illness. Since the Occupational Safety and Health Act was passed in 1970, worker deaths in the United States have dropped from a daily average of 38 in 1970 to just over an average of 14 in 2016 (OSHA 2018). Still the number of nonfatal occupational illnesses and injuries, 2.9 million in 2016, is quite large but difficult to measure completely (BLS 2017).

However, the increasing reliance on precarious employment arrangements, the growing demographic diversity and inequitable distribution of occupational injuries, and the decline in union representation and government oversight (Siqueira et al. 2014) have led some to argue that the occupational safety and health field is in the midst of a significant transformation (Peckham et al. 2017). They argue that these challenges fundamentally change the nature of our understanding of risk and argue for a more holistic, public health-oriented approach to worker health. Ongoing efforts to complement current methods with social approaches to health and well-being are essential to this transformation.

The distinction between work-related and nonwork-related exposures, injuries, and illnesses serves as a line of demarcation between occupational safety and health and other disciplines within public health, such as community health. However, the adoption of the social determinants of health paradigm has led to a growing recognition that one’s job or career exerts a significant influence over other aspects of life that contribute or detract from workers’ health and that of their families (Bambra 2011; WHO 2007). This is part of a larger trend toward a more holistic and nuanced perspective on work and its impact on population health (Ahonen et al. 2018; Schulte and Vainio 2010). These new approaches, together with societal changes such as the restructuring of the employer–employee relationship, are making the historical distinction between work and nonwork-related exposures “artificial and less useful in understanding risks and developing effective public health intervention models” (Peckham et al. 2017, 3). As the boundaries between work-related/nonwork-related exposures blur, the need to complement traditional approaches with new conceptual and methodological perspectives becomes increasingly evident. Inherent in this transformation is the need to complement biomedical approaches (Engel 1977) to occupational health with biosocial approaches (Farmer et al. 2006) to worker health.

An anthropology of im/migration, work, and health seems uniquely positioned to bridge the divide between occupational and community health by reinserting work into the lived experiences of workers, their families, and their communities. The occupational safety and health literature focuses largely on injury events and how to prevent them; not on the lives of injured workers. As a result, the injury experience of im/migrant workers is largely decontextualized or removed from its geographical, historical, and social contexts (Leong et al. 2014).

An ethnographic approach to occupational injuries among im/migrant workers is an invaluable tool to recontextualize these events (Saxton and Stuesse—this issue). For example, Unterberger’s (this issue) use of *Life Course Theory* roots occupational injury in the lives of Mexican migrants and the communities in Mexico to which they return. This approach permits an exploration of the impact of these workplace injuries on the well-being of the individual and the community over time and place. This leads Unterberger (this issue) to use the notion of syndemic (synergistic epidemic)—a conceptual model from medical anthropology used to describe a set of linked health problems that interact to create an excess burden of disease among a group of people in a specific place and time—(Singer and Claire 2003) to show the relationships between workplace injuries and other harmful health behaviors and outcomes in the community. Unterberger shows how lack of access to workers’ compensation benefits for Mexican im/migrants can lead to long-term disability, contributing to changes in gender-based social roles, depression, self-medication with alcohol, and increased burdens for family members. These changes in gender roles can exacerbate the negative emotional consequences of a disabling occupational injury leading to worse physical, mental, and emotional health outcomes for the injured worker and their families.

Traditional occupational health approaches focus on the immediate injury and would likely not classify alcoholism, lower self-esteem, and subsequent health complications as work related. As a result, the domain of work is underutilized in current efforts to understand and improve im/migrant health (Flynn and Wickramage 2017). However, the inclusion of the injury experience in the life and social context of the injured worker allows for a more comprehensive understanding of its impact and the clustering of subsequent health problems (i.e., syndemics) that result from the injury. This approach dovetails with calls in the OSH literature for a more comprehensive model for assessing the burden of work-related injuries and illnesses (Schulte et al. 2017). This ethnographic approach provides a more comprehensive understanding of the relationship between im/migration, work, and health, and fits comfortably in the largely unacknowledged domain between occupational and community health.

Likewise, the social determinants of health paradigm highlight the need to account for the influence larger social structures (e.g., race, class, nativity, gender), job characteristics (e.g., nonstandard work arrangements, salary and benefits, shift work), and organizational/industry factors (e.g., business size, outsourcing, competitive bidding) have on occupational health inequities (NIOSH 2018). The occupational health literature often is limited to only identifying workers (e.g., im/migrants, small business employees, temporary workers) who are at increased risk for occupational injury. While these epidemiological studies are essential in identifying the inequitable distribution of occupational injury, more OSH research is needed to understand *how* structural vulnerabilities materialize in the lives of these workers (Flynn et al. 2015).

A central challenge of securing occupational health equity is that the same social structures (race, class, nativity, etc.) that contribute to worker vulnerability and higher rates of occupational injury and illness also operate within occupational safety and health organizations (NIOSH 2018). Policies, practices, and partnerships of these organizations are

designed from the perspective of the majority group and therefore may not fully account for the experiences and perspectives of socially marginalized groups such as immigrants (Nader 1972). As a result, workers from historically marginalized groups at times have been excluded from institutional efforts to document and prevent workplace illness and injury (Flynn and Eggerth 2014; NIOSH 2018; Souza et al. 2010).

Anthropology provides the theoretical perspectives and methodology to “study up” (Nader 1972) these organizations and identify how these social structures are codified in occupational safety and health organizations and internalized by the professionals who staff them. This approach can identify how current practices and policies are rooted in the majority experience and exclude, often inadvertently, workers from other groups. In this issue, Stuesse conducts a legal and economic analysis of workers’ compensation to place the occupational injury and care-seeking experiences of immigrant poultry workers in their

Studies exploring the agency of Latino im/migrants suggest that sometimes efforts to secure a competitive advantage in the labor market (Gomberg-Muñoz 2010) or reaffirm their masculinity and self-worth (Horton 2016; Walter et al. 2004) may lead im/migrant workers to take additional risks on the job (Walter et al. 2002), such as not using the provided safety equipment (Ramirez 2011). These studies provide a nuanced understanding of how structural vulnerability materializes in the lives of im/migrant workers, a perspective not often found in the OSH literature. While occupational safety and health are often not the central focus of these particular studies, they advance our theoretical perspective on the role of labor im/migration in the global economy and highlight the value of OSH as a lens for anthropologists studying im/migration, work, and health in the global economy.

The articles in this special issue focus on occupational health, with studies on the long-term impact of occupational injury on the individual and the community (Unterberger—this  
he arga5e not



health of im/migrant workers is one such topic. These workers make products and provide services familiar to the general population, but the conditions under which they are produced are not so familiar. Studying the occupational health of im/migrant workers is not only relevant because of geographic proximity and familiarity of what is produced, studying their working conditions also provides insight into how work is being restructured in the global economy for all workers.

With roughly 20 percent of all U.S. workers employed in nonstandard work arrangements (Howard 2017), one can see how anthropology students, whether preparing for a job in academia or in another field, could relate to some of the economic insecurities that many im/migrant workers face as a result of their nonstandard employment arrangements. Thus, the intersection of im/migration, work, and health may not only seem more relevant to anthropology students but could also create spaces for fruitful interdisciplinary collaborations involving the workers and local communities affected by occupational injuries and hazards.

## Conclusion

The intersection of im/migration, work, and health represents an important, yet understudied, topic of anthropological research. Anthropology offers theories and methods that can help contextualize occupational injuries; more fully account for the relationships among im/migration, work, and health; and make both immediate and long-term interventions relevant for im/migrant workers, their families, communities, and allies. This special issue comes amid significant changes to the social context that circumscribes both work and the im/migrant experience. It also comes at a time of growing interest by anthropologists in the working conditions of labor im/migrants and a growing recognition by the occupational safety and health community of the social aspects of occupational injuries among im/migrant workers. The articles contained within represent examples of the complementary nature of these two disciplines and offer a path toward greater collaboration between these two fields that share common roots. This issue could not have come at a better time.

## References

- Abrams Herbert K. 2001 "A Short History of Occupational Health." *Journal of Public Health Policy* 22: 34–80. [PubMed: 11382089]
- Ahonen EQ, Fujishiro K, Cunningham TR, and Flynn MA 2018 "Work as an Inclusive Part of Population Health Inequities Research and Prevention." *American Journal of Public Health* 108(3): 306–11. [PubMed: 29345994]
- Arcury Thomas A. 2017 "Anthropology in Agriculture Health and Safety Research and Intervention." *Journal of Agromedicine* 22: 3–8. [PubMed: 27808601]
- Arcury Thomas A., Weir Maria, Chen Haiying, Summers Phillip, Pelletier Lori E., Leonardo Galván Werner E. Bischoff, Mirabelli Maria C., and Quandt Sara A. 2012 "Migrant Farmworker Housing Regulation Violations in North Carolina." *American Journal of Industrial Medicine* 55: 191–204. [PubMed: 22237961]



- Bambra Clare. 2011 "Work, Worklessness, and the Political Economy of Health Inequalities." *Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health* 65: 746–50. [PubMed: 21282147]
- Brown Theodore M., and Fee Elizabeth. 2006 "Rudolf Carl Virchow: Medical Scientist, Social Reformer and Role Model." *American Journal of Public Health* 96: 2104–5. [PubMed: 17077410]
- Brunette Maria J. 2005 "Development of Educational and Training Materials on Safety and Health: Targeting Hispanic Workers in the Construction Industry." *Family and Community Health* 28: 253–66. [PubMed: 15958883]
- Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS). 2017 "Employer-reported Workplace Injuries and Illnesses – 2016." News Release 9 November 2017. US Department of Labor USDL-17-1482
- Castañeda Heide, Holmes Seth, Madrigal Daniel, De Trinidad Young Maria-Elena, Beyeler Naomi, and Quesada James. 2015 "Immigration as a Social Determinant of Health." *Annual Review of Public Health* 36: 375–92.

- International Labor Organization [ILO]. 2017 Decent Work for Migrants and Refugees Geneva Accessed June 12, 2017. [https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/--dgreports/--dcomm/documents/publication/wcms\\_524995.pdf](https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/--dgreports/--dcomm/documents/publication/wcms_524995.pdf).
- Leong Fredrick T. L., Eggerth Donald E., and Flynn Michael A. 2014 “A Life Course Perspective on Immigrant Occupational Health and Well-being.” In *Contemporary Occupational Health Psychology: Global Perspectives on Research and Practice*, edited by Sinclair Robert and Leka Stavroula, 97–113. Vol. 3 New York: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Low Setha M., and Engle Merry Sally. 2010 “Engaged Anthropology: Diversity and Dilemmas: An Introduction to Supplement 2.” *Current Anthropology*, 10 2010 51(S2):S203–26.
- Nader Laura. 1972 “Up the Anthropologist: Perspectives Gained from ‘Studying Up.’” In *Reinventing Anthropology*, edited by Hymes D, 284–311. New York: Random House.
- National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health [NIOSH]. 2018 “Occupational Health Equity: Program Description” Accessed June 13, 2018. <https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/programs/ohe/description.html>.
- O’Connor Thomas, Flynn Michael A., Weinstock Deborah, and Zanoni Joseph. 2014 “Occupational Safety and Health Education and Training for Underserved Populations.” *New Solutions* 24: 83–106. [PubMed: 25053607]
- Peckham Trevor K., Baker Marissa G., Camp Janice E., Kaufman Joel D., and Seixas Noah S. 2017 “Creating a Future for Occupational Health.” *Annals of Work Exposures and Health* 61: 3–15. [PubMed: 28395315]
- Quandt Sara A., and Arcury Thomas A. (2017). “Developing Occupational Safety and Health Training

- Walter Nicholas, Bourgois Philippe, Margarita Loinaza H., and Schillinger Dean. 2002 “Social Context of Work Injury among Undocumented Day Laborers in San Francisco.” *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 17: 221–29. [PubMed: 11929509]
- Walter Nicholas, Bourgois Philippe, and Margarita Loinaza H. 2004 “Masculinity and Undocumented Labor Migration: Injured Latino Day Laborers in San Francisco.” *Social Science and Medicine* 59: 1159–68. [PubMed: 15210088]
- Weil David. 2014 *The Fissured Workplace: Why Work Became So Bad for So Many and What Can Be Done to Improve It* Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- World Health Organization [WHO]. 2007 *Employment Conditions and Health Inequalities; Employment Conditions Knowledge Network of the Commission on Social Determinants of Health* Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.
- World Health Organization [WHO]. 2008 *Final Report of the Commission on Social Determinants of Health* Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.
- Wright Michael J. 2018 “The Changing Nature of Work.” *American Journal of Public Health* 108: 315–16. [PubMed: 29412706]